UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/522,186	01/24/2005	Shigetoshi Kadota	043157	3671	
38834 7590 07/09/2008 WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP			EXAMINER		
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700		FRAZIER, BARBARA S			
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1611		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/09/2008	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentmail@whda.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/522,186	KADOTA ET AL.  Art Unit  1611  /A.  if an agreement was  3. Chirnomas on 6/26/08  visional application.  reed would render the claims  SUBSTANCE OF THE bound of the claims  SUBSTANCE OF THE bound of the claims  AND STANCE OF THE bound of the claims  WHICHEVER IS LATER				
interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	BARBARA FRAZIER	1611				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>BARBARA FRAZIER</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Ryan B. Chirnomas</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 26 June 2008.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 9-12</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Examiner spoke with Applicant's representative Ryan B. Chirnomas on 6/26/08.</u> <u>Mr.Chirnomas confirmed that the instant application has been abandoned in favor of a divisional application.</u>						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE SUBSTANCE OF T	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APP ' DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO			
_	/Barbara Frazier/ Examiner's signature, if requi	red				
and a second control of the co	, 10qui	- <del>-</del>				